## MATH 3060 Assignment 5 solution

## Chan Ki Fung

November 23, 2021

1. We will define F by (For  $x_n \in E$ )

$$F(\lim x_n) = \lim f(x_n)$$

We need to check

- (a)  $\lim f(x_n)$  exists if  $\lim x_n$  exists.
- (b) If  $\lim x_n = \lim x'_n$ , then  $\lim f(x_n) = \lim f(x'_n)$ .
- (c) If  $x = \lim x_n \in E$ , then  $\lim f(x_n) = f(x)$ .
- (d) F is uniformly continuous.

To show (a), we need to check  $f(x_n)$  is Cauchy. In fact, let  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can find  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $x, x' \in E$ ,

$$d_X(x, x') < \delta \implies d_Y(f(x), f(x')) < \epsilon.$$

So it suffices to show that we can find an integer N such that for n, m > N

$$d_X(x_n, x_m) < \delta,$$

and this is true because  $(x_n)$  is Cauchy. For (b), note that  $d_X(x_n, x'_n) \to 0$ , so

$$d_Y(\lim f(x_n), \lim f(x'_n)) = \lim d_Y(f(x_n), f(x'_n)) = 0$$

because f is uniformly continuous.

- (c) follows from the definition of f being continuous.
- (d) Let  $\epsilon$ , we can find  $\delta > 0$  such that for  $x, x' \in E$

$$d_X(x, x') < 3\delta \implies d_Y(f(x), f(x')) < \epsilon.$$

Now let  $x, x' \in \overline{E}$ , and choose  $\{x_n\}, \{x'_n\} \subset E$  with  $x = \lim x_n, y = \lim x'_n$ . Then for n sufficiently large, we have

$$d_X(x_n, x) < \delta, d_X(x'_n, x') < \delta.$$

We must have  $d_X(x_n, x'_n) < 3\delta$ , and hence

$$d_Y(F(x), F(x')) = d_Y(\lim f(x_n), \lim f(x'_n)) = \lim d_Y(f(x_n), f(x'_n)) < \epsilon.$$

2. We write  $x-3x \sin x + x^4 = \Phi = \text{Id}(x) + \Psi(x)$ , where  $\Psi(x) = -3x \sin x + x^4$ . For |x|, |x'| < r < 1, we have, by mean value theorem,

$$|\Psi(x) - \Psi(x')| = |(-3\sin\xi - 3\xi\cos\xi + 4\xi^3)||x - x'| \le 10r|x - x'|.$$

We will conclude by perturbation of identity that we can choose r so that  $0.001 \in \text{Im}(\Phi)$ . To do this, we need 10r < 1, and (1-10r)r > 0.001. This can be done, for example taking r = 0.09.

3. We write  $\Phi = \text{Id} + \Psi$ , where  $\Psi(x, y) = (y^4, -x^2)$ . For  $p = (x, y), p' = (x', y') \in B_0(r)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} ||\Psi(p) - \Psi(p')||^2 &= (y^4 - y'^4)^2 + (x^2 - x'^2)^2 \\ &\leq (4\xi_y^3)^2 (y - y')^2 + (2\xi_x)^2 (x - x')^2 \\ &\leq 16r^6 ||p - p'||^2 + 4r^4 |p - p'|^2 \end{aligned}$$

If we assume r < 1, then we have

$$||\Psi(p) - \Psi(p')|| \le \sqrt{20r^2} ||p - p'||^2 \le 5r||p - p'||$$

We then apply the Perturbation of identity, so we need to choose r so that 5r < 1 and (1 - 5r)r > 0.01. We can take, for example r = 0.1.

4. Note that  $(I - A)^t = I - A^t$ , so it suffices to show  $I - A^t$  is invertible. The idea is to show that  $A^t$  is a contraction. If this is true, then  $I - A^t$  must be invertible. This is because if  $x \neq 0$  and  $(I - A^t)x = 0$ , then  $|x| > |A^t x| = |-x| = |x|$ , which is a contradiction.

However,  $A^t$  may not be a contraction for the standard metric, but it is a contraction for  $d_{sup}$  and  $d_1$ . We will do the case for  $d_1$ .

Take  $\gamma = \max_i \sum_j |a_{ij}| < 1$ . We need to show that  $|A^t x|_1 \leq \gamma |x|_1$ . But

$$|A^{t}x|_{1} = \sum_{j} \sum_{i} |a_{ij}x_{i}|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i} \left( |x_{i}| \sum_{j} |a_{ij}| \right)$$

$$\leq \gamma \sum_{i} |x_{i}|$$

$$= \gamma |x|_{1}$$